UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,024	08/10/2006	Shinichi Nishida	1248-0891PUS1	4142
	7590 08/31/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		KUMAR, SRILAKSHMI K		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2629		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/589,024	NISHIDA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629	

	SRILAKSHMI K. KUMAR	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Armo event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beth appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	-	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 2-7 and 9-14. Claim(s) rejected: 1, 8, 15, 16, 18, 20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Srilakshmi K Kumar/ Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2629		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: With respect to the proposed amendments, specifically, the proposed amendment to claim 15 changes the scope of the claim. Therefore, with respect to claim 15, 18 & 20, further search and consideration is required. With respect to calims 1, 3-8, 10-14, the proposed amendments to these claims would be allowable. Further, with respect to claim 20, applicant indicates that pages 21-23 of the specification teach the computer readable recording medium as including ROM, RAM, removable storage medium, hard disk, etc. However, the claim claims "a computer readable recording medium" and the specification describes "a computer program storage medium". These are two different things. As there is no mention of the computer readable recording medium, examiner suggests amending the specification to describe this element.